STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 2-98)

See SAM Sections 6600 - 6680 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON Pam Giarrizzo, Chief Counsel	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Secretary of State DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	ram Giantzzo, Chief Counsel	(916) 653-7244 NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Post Election Manual Tally Requirements for C	Close Contests	Z
	CONOMIC IMPACT STATEM	IENT
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS	(include calculations and assumptions in th	е тиетнакіпд гесога.)
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate wh	ether this regulation:	
a. Impacts businesses and/or employ	ees e. Impos	ses reporting requirements
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Impos	es prescriptive instead of performance standards
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impac	ets individuals
d. Impacts California competitiveness		of the above (Explain below. Complete the
h. (cont.) Impact is restricted to loca		
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, con	nplete this Economic Impact Statement.)	
Enter the total number of businesses impacted:		ses (Include nonprofits):
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted.	Describe the types of busines	Coo (mondae nonpromo)
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses	impacted that are small husinesses:	
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses		
Explain:		
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (list area	as):
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or elimi	nated: Describe the types of jobs	or occupations impacted:
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California bus	inesses to compete with other states by mak	ing it more costly to produce goods or services here?
Yes No If yes, expla	in briefly:	
L 100 II yes, expia	in briefly.	
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and as	sumptions in the rulemaking record.)	
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that busine	esses and individuals may incur to comply wi	th this regulation over its lifetime? \$
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$		
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:		
and a second state of the		

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

2.	. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry:
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar
	costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? Yes No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ and the
	number of units:
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? Yes No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal
	regulations:
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$
C	ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:
	Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? Explain: What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$
	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not pecifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:
2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:
4.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or
	equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?
	Explain:
_	MAJOR DEGULATIONS (ILL.) LA
۲.	MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) Cal/EPA boards, offices and departments are subject to the following additional requirements per Health and Safety Code section 57005.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

1. Wil	the estimated costs of this regulation to California b	usiness enterprises	exceed \$10 million ?	Yes No (If	No, skip the rest of this section)
	efly describe each equally as effective alternative, or ernative 1:			-	s was performed:	
Alt	ernative 2:					
Re Alt	the regulation, and each alternative just described, gulation: ernative 1: ernative 2: \$		otal cost and overall cost-e Cost-effectiveness ratio Cost-effectiveness ratio Cost-effectiveness ratio	o:		
	FI	SCAL IMPAC	T STATEMENT			
A. FIS	CAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Indicate the cur		1 through 6 and attach calc ibsequent Fiscal Years)	culations and assur	nptions of fiscal impact for	
7 ₁ .	Additional expenditures of approximately \$_20,000 Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitut).00in the cu	rrent State Fiscal Year whi	ch are reimbursabl ent Code. Funding	e by the State pursuant to for this reimbursement:	
	a. is provided in (Item	,Budget Act of	or (Chapter		Statutes of	-
	b. will be requested in the 2009-10 (FISCAL YEAR					·•
2.	Additional expenditures of approximately \$ Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitut	ion and Sections 175	500 et seq. of the Governme	ent Code because	this regulation:	
	a. implements the Federal mandate contained					
	b. implements the court mandate set forth by the					
	court in the case of c. implements a mandate of the people of this					
	election;	State expressed in the	nen approvar or i roposition	140	(DATE)	
	d. is issued only in response to a specific requ	est from the				
				, which is/are the	e only local entity(s) affected;	
	e. will be fully financed from the		(FEES, REVENUE, ETC.)		authorized by Secti	on
		of the			Cod	e;
	f. provides for savings to each affected unit of l		ich will, at a minimum, offse	et any additional co	ests to each such unit.	
	Savings of approximately \$anr	•				
4.	No additional costs or savings because this regulation	n makes only techni	cal, non-substantive or clar	ifying changes to c	urrent law and regulations.	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	
6. Other.	
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculate the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	lations and assumptions of fiscal impact for
1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year. It is	anticipated that State agencies will:
a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal	year.
2. Savings of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.	
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 thro	
	ough 4 and attach calculations and assumptions ar and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)
1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.	
2. Savings of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.	
✓ 3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or p	rogram
4. Other.	iogram.
= 4. Other.	
SIGNATURE	TITLE
& Jam Misruzzo	Chief Counsel
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE & Januar Jumo elle	10/9/08
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 2 PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE 🗷	

- 1. The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6600-6680, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6600-6670 require completion of the Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.

STD. 399 Attachment
Fiscal Impact Statement
A. Fiscal Impact on Local Government

Current Fiscal Year: \$20,000.00

The estimate of additional expenditures of \$20,000.00 in the current State Fiscal Year is based upon the Secretary of State's survey of the seven counties that conducted Post Election Manual Tallies in the June 2008 Statewide Primary Election (copy attached). The estimate is based on the assumption that a similar number of counties will incur costs in similar amounts, totaling approximately \$10,000.00, in the November 2008 General Election and again in a Special Election that the Governor has indicated he intends to call in the first half of 2009.

Funding will be requested in the 2009-10 Governor's Budget for appropriation in the Budget Act of 2009

0890-108-0001--For local assistance, funding to counties.....XX,XXX Provisions:

- 1. The funds authorized in this item may be apportioned to the counties by the Controller, consistent with the requirements of Provision 2, in amounts not to exceed the following: (a) County... XX,XXX
- 2. Upon receipt of a report, signed and certified as true and accurate by the county clerk or county registrar of voters, that identifies the total costs for staff salaries, services and supplies, and postage, the Controller shall pay the reported costs of the counties for the XXXXX election (s).

Two Subsequent Fiscal Years

FY 2009-10: \$10,000.00

This estimate is also based on the survey of costs in the June 2008 Statewide Primary Election. Only one statewide election, the June 2010 Statewide Primary Election, is scheduled in FY 2009-10.

FY 2010-11: \$10,000.00

This estimate is also based on the survey of costs in the June 2008 Statewide Primary Election. Only one statewide election, the November 2010 General Election, is scheduled in FY 2010-11.

	County	Staff	Total Cost	Overall	Lotal	% 0 1	Total	Cost per	Cost per
		Hours		cost of	ballots	precincts	ballots	ballot	ballots in
				election	cast in the	hand	hand	hand	the
		-		for the	contest	tallied	tallied	tallied	contest
				county					
1:	Alameda								
2.	Fresno								
3.	Imperial								
4.	Marin	8	\$160	8	532	100%	5151	\$0.31	\$0.30
5.	Riverside	136^{2}	\$1,300	8	43,011	10%	336	\$3.86	\$0.03
9	San Mateo	24	\$500	89	13,344 ³	10%	4955	\$0.52	\$0.04
7.	Santa Clara	227	\$9,131 ⁵	\$	11,286	15%	2,079	\$4.39	\$0.81
	Average	66	\$2,773		17,043		971	\$2.27	\$0.30
	4				**				

An additional 17 ballots (14 provisional, and 3 duplicated ballots) were counted In the final tally of ballots, which occurred after the manual tally began. Those ballots were not included in the manual tally.

Riverside based its estimate on 17 people for one day. The estimate does not include ROV management hours.

San Mateo conducted two PEMT audits: local measures N (8,532 ballots) and P (4,812 ballots).

A total of four additional precincts (containing X ballots) were counted for the PEMT audits.

PEMT report to the SOS: "The PEMT required a total 192 hours of extra help staff time, including preparation, training, and conducting the PEMT. An additional 35 ⁵ These costs include one-time costs associated with preparing and conducting the first ever PEMT audit in Santa Clara County. According to the Santa Clara County hours were required for [managers] to draft procedures, prepare materials, supervise the process, and make reports."